Dynamic Embodied Angles in a Simulated Restorative Planetarium

Jessica Benally, SESAME Graduate Student (jessicabenally@berkeley.edu; edrl.berkeley.edu/people/jessica) Project for EDUC 222C Design Based Research Forum http://tinyurl.com/Dor-DBR| Instructor: Dor Abrahamson

Objective: Embodied Restructuration of Euclidean Angles

In traditional geometry education, the dominant medium is paper (Papert, 2004). Thus, geometrical objects, e.g., triangles, are inscribed as static forms lying on a plane perpendicular to our line of vision. Whereas static forms are conducive to measurement and analysis, they implicitly entrain a static ontology of geometrical elements, such as angles (Thompson, 2013). A dynamic perspective on angles, grounded in embodied movement, introduces alternative geometrical ontologies, where angles are trans/formed by the body (Smith et al., 2014). This dynamic view presents angle measures as ratios out of the entire circle.

Background: A Realistic Embodied Perspective on Angles, Incorporating Self and Environment

Freudenthal's (1971) pedagogical philosophy, Realistic Mathematics Education (RME), promotes an ecological approach to quantitative reasoning designed to narrow the prevailing epistemic gap between embodied know-how and formal subject matter (Gravemeijer, 1994). Situating mathematics education may, thus, reconcile naturalistic experience of angles as dynamical egocentric and normative disciplinary representations of angles as static-allocentric. Such restructuration of angles could broaden access to the discipline by diversifying its prerequisite epistemic practices (Turkle & Papert, 1991; Wilensky & Papert, 2010). The pedagogical framework embodied design guides such mathematical restructuration by theorizing learning as dialogic negotiation between naturalistic perceptuomotor activity and cultural-historical forms (Abrahamson, 2019).

Design: Geometry Planetarium — Enacting Angles in a Navajo Archeo-Astronomy Environment

Geometry Planetarium (GP) is a designed learning environment simulating perspectival essential qualities of Navajo archeoastronomical practice in dialogic negotiation with Euclidean geometry. GP utilizes cultural-historical forms of astrometrical perception, which posits



Geometry Planetarium



Fig. 1: Outside view of the Fig. 2: Chaunese gauges the length of a Fig. 3: Dual pointer shooting star by subtending its stretch.



with affixed protractor.

spatial intervals between heavenly bodies as subtending two egocentric visual marks, whereby distance is gauged as an expanding egocentric angle. GP (Fig. 1) is an enchanted enclosure with other-worldly ambience. This environment creates opportunities for young students to reinstate Indigenous sensorimotor phenomenology of angle as egocentric dynamical enactment, replacing formal symbolic computation with realistic sensorimotor experience. In GP, the child becomes the vertex of a projected triangle, whose base is the gauged celestial interval. Young Chaunese compared the magnitudes of two objects in the GP sky (Fig. 2). She then explained her comparison by rotating her stretched arms outwards to embrace each imagined expanse. Finally, she was guided to repeat the double-pointing gauge by using an innovative device composed of two rotating dowels fastened at the base (Fig. 3). A protractor attached to the base enabled her to cite the angle measure of the expanse.

Conclusions

Acknowledging and using the egocentric dynamical perspective on astronomical magnitude as embodied angle revitalizes Indigenous knowledge and integrates it with mathematical disciplinary knowledge to advance meaning in geometry education.

References

Abrahamson, D. (2019). A new world: Educational research on the sensorimotor roots of mathematical reasoning. In A. Shvarts (Ed.), Proceedings of PME-Russia & Yandex (pp. 48–68). Moscow: Yandex.

Freudenthal, H. (1971). Geometry between the devil and the deep sea. Educational Studies in Mathematics, 3(3/4), 413–435. Gravemeijer, K. P. E. (1998). Taking a different perspective. In R. Lehrer & D. Chazan (Eds.), Designing learning environments for developing understanding of geometry and space (pp 45-66). Mahwah, NJ: Erlbaum.

Papert, S. (2004, May). Keynote speech. The i3 1 to 1 Notebook Conference, Sydney. http://vimeo.com/9092144

Smith, C. P., King, B., & Hoyte, J. (2014). Learning angles through movement: Critical actions for developing understanding in an embodied activity. The Journal of Mathematical Behavior, 36, 95–108.

Thompson, P. W. (2013). In the absence of meaning... In K. Leatham (Ed.), Vital directions for mathematics education research (pp. 57–94). New York: Springer.

Turkle, S., & Papert, S. (1991). Epistemological pluralism and the revaluation of the concrete. In I. Harel & S. Papert (Eds.), Constructionism (pp. 161-192). Norwood, NJ: Ablex Publishing.

Wilensky, U., & Papert, S. (2010). Restructurations: Reformulations of knowledge disciplines through new representational forms, In J. Clavson & I. Kallas (Eds.), Proceedings of the Constructionism 2010 Conference, Paris.